

SUN RIVER WATERSHED WATERSHED RESTORATION PLAN

Revised May 2022



SUN RIVER WATERSHED GROUP

PO Box 7312

Great Falls, MT 59406

(406) 214 2868

info@sunriverwatershed.org

www.sunriverwatershed.org

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This updated Watershed Restoration Plan was developed through partnership and collaboration between people, agencies, and organizations invested in the use, restoration, and conservation of the resources of the Sun River Watershed. This effort was led by a special committee of the Sun River Watershed Water Quality Working Group which included representatives from the Sun River Watershed Group Board of Directors; Montana Trout Unlimited; Greenfields Irrigation District; US Bureau of Land Management; Montana Salinity Control Association; and the Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation. SRWG would also like to thank Katie Vivian and Jason Mullen from Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks, for their in-depth review and insightful comments on this plan; Emma Kelsick, Connor Mertz, and Rai Hahn for their diligent collection of water quality samples; Adam Sigler and Meghan Robinson at Montana State University Extension Water Quality Division for assistance with water quality data organization, input, and analysis; and, finally, SRWG's Board of Directors for providing valuable input, including extra efforts from Project Committee chairs, Dave Martin and Steve Leathe, and GIS work by Tanner Tompkins.

This report would not have been possible without the insight, support, and guidance provided by Mark Ockey at the Montana Department of Environmental Quality and past SRWG Coordinator, Al Rollo.

SRWG would also like to thank the Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation's Watershed Management Grant Program, which funded this revision of the 2012 Sun River Watershed Restoration Plan.

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

BLM	US Bureau of Land Management
CD	Conservation District
DEQ	Montana Department of Environmental Quality
DNRC	Montana Department of Natural Resource Conservation
DO	Dissolved Oxygen
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
FSID	Fort Shaw Irrigation District
FWP	Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks
GID	Greenfields Irrigation District
MSUEWQ	Montana State University Extension, Water Quality Program
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
Reclamation	US Bureau of Reclamation
SAP	Water Quality Sampling and Analysis Plan
SOP	Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Standard Operating Procedures
SRWG	Sun River Watershed Group
TDS	Total Dissolved Solids
TN	Total Nitrogen
TP	Total Phosphorous
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load
TPN	Total Persulfate Nitrogen
TSS	Total Suspended Solids
USGS	US Geological Survey
WRP	Watershed Restoration Plan

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	Pg. 5
Statement of Need for Updated WRP.....	5
Key Information for WRP Users.....	5
Information Gaps.....	7
Nine Elements of a WRP.....	9
WATERSHED BACKGROUND	Pg. 10
Sun River Watershed Group.....	11
WATERSHED RESTORATION PLAN	Pg. 12
Section 1. Water quality	14
Section 2. Stream flows	21
Section 3. Hydrologic processes	25
Section 4. Watershed natural resources	27
Section 5. Weeds	30
Section 6. Outreach & Education	32
Section 7. Projects and Milestones	34
Conclusion	37
APPENDIX	Pg. 38
Appendix A: Reference documents and studies	
Appendix B: List of past projects	
Appendix C: Project screening tool	
Appendix D: Project prioritization matrix	
Appendix E: Fish Survey Data	

INTRODUCTION

Statement of Need for Updated WRP

The Sun River Watershed Group [SRWG] and Montana Department of Environmental Quality [DEQ] developed a Sun River Watershed Restoration Plan [WRP] in 2012 to provide an approach for addressing water quality and quantity concerns in the Sun River watershed. These concerns were related to findings of the 2004 Total Maximum Daily Loads Report [TMDL], which identified six reaches and waterbodies as impaired and indicated 14 probable causes [pollutants]. SRWG and partners have implemented many projects over the past 20 years, largely aimed at improving water quality. In 2019, Montana State University Extension, Water Quality Division [MSUEWQ] prepared an analysis of existing water quality data, providing an updated look at nutrient trends in the Sun River and key tributaries. Finally, SRWG has discovered that projects designed to improve one aspect of watershed health [e.g. water quality] often have a significant impact on another aspect of watershed health [e.g. wildlife habitat].

This updated WRP builds on and replaces the original 2012 WRP, broadening the scope to address a larger spectrum of resource concerns, reflecting what we now know about water quality trends, acknowledging the interrelatedness of resource issues, and taking a wider stance in setting goals for watershed health. The 2022 WRP is intended to be a workplan for SRWG and includes both static and dynamic elements to allow for long-term planning and flexible watershed management, guiding our work to improve not just water quality, but a full suite of natural resource values.

Key Information for WRP Users

The following are key sources of information used to inform this WRP. For a full list of consulted sources, please see Appendix A:

- DEQ and Clean Water Act [CWA]
In 2002, DEQ released the TMDL for the Sun River watershed, “listing” segments of the river and tributaries that do not fully support designated uses or are threatened [likely to violate water quality standards in the future], including a plan to bring these waters into compliance with appropriate standards, as required under Section 303(d) of the CWA. The DEQ recommends the development of locally-led WRPs and provides guidance for creating WRPs, including the EPA’s nine minimum elements. More information about this process can be found on the [DEQ Water Quality Planning Bureau](#) website.
- Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks, Region 4
Current and past fisheries biologists from FWP, FWP reports, and other documents, provided information used in this WRP. In addition, the local fisheries biologists participate in the Water Management Working Group and meet periodically with SRWG’s Executive Director to discuss issues and potential projects to improve fish habitat in the

watershed. FWP has historically provided fisheries data, input about water management and Gibson Dam operations, and scientific-based recommendations for incorporating fish and wildlife health considerations on the topics of water quality and stream flows, among other natural resource considerations.

- **MSUEWQ and Data Hub**
SRWG’s water quality monitoring program was developed with assistance from MSUEWQ. MSUEWQ has reviewed and quality-checked data collected by SRWG. Data are shared publicly through MSUEWQ’s [Data Hub website](#), including lab results, photo points, and field data. MSUEWQ has also performed data trend analysis, conducted water quality research, and compiled several reports, which are available on the SRWG website or by contacting SRWG. These analyses and reports helped inform this WRP.
- **Natural Resources Conservation Service:**
SRWG works periodically with local NRCS representatives to develop NRCS Long Range Plans and Targeted Implementation Plans for the Sun River Watershed. This WRP considers the goals and objectives outlined in those Plans, which enables collaboration between SRWG, NRCS, and other stakeholders where our values are in sync. Long Range Plans for the [Great Falls](#) and [Choteau](#) NRCS offices are available online.
- **Private Landowners and Other Stakeholders**
In 2017-18, SRWG surveyed landowners and stakeholders as part of the process to develop a new mission, strategic values, and plan for the Sun River Watershed. This survey sought to understand public perception of key resource concerns and potential projects for SRWG. SRWG’s mission and strategic values were updated in 2019 to reflect findings from this survey. This WRP included updates to these values based on further discussion with stakeholders. In addition, SRWG and partners hold landowner meetings as part of the inclusive process to develop documents like the [Channel Migration Zone Report \[CMZ\]](#) and Muddy Creek Master Plan [to be completed June 2022], and to get feedback about current watershed issues, like the current Adobe Creek avulsion.
- **Sun River Water Management Working Group**
Distribution of water in the Sun River is primarily managed by Greenfields Irrigation District [GID] in coordination with other water users, including: Fort Shaw Irrigation District [FSID], Broken O Ranch, and the Sun River Valley Ditch Company [SRVDC]. The GID [website](#) describes water management and distribution across the watershed. The Bureau of Reclamation [Reclamation]’s [website](#) also provides useful information on the history and operations of the Sun River Project. Stream flows are monitored by SRWG, DNRC, and USGS via multiple stream gages in the Sun River and tributaries, which help provide information used in management decisions. Representatives from the organizations mentioned here, as well as other parties with an interest in water

management in the Sun River Watershed, participate in the [Water Management Working Group](#) and provided information used in developing this WRP.

- Sun River Water Quality Working Group
The Sun River [Water Quality Working Group](#) meets annually or as needed and is made up of representatives from agencies and organizations with an interest in Sun River Watershed water quality. A sub-committee from this working group helped direct and inform this WRP.
- Additional Watershed Reports
 - 2001 FWP Sun River minimum instream flow letter to Reclamation
 - 2012 Sun River Watershed Special Study
 - 2019 Sun River Watershed Trend Analysis
 - 2019 SRWG Strategic Plan
 - 2021 Sun River Watershed Channel Migration Zone Report
 - Gibson Operations guide
 - Sun River Water Quality SOP/SAP

Copies of reports and documents are available on the [SRWG website](#) or can be obtained by contacting [SRWG](#). A full list of references can be found in Appendix A of this document.

Information Gaps

While much is already known about the condition of this watershed, information gaps still exist. SRWG's working groups and committees should consider and prioritize the suggestions below and develop a plan to close these information gaps:

- Water Quality Project Prioritization:
In 2004, the Sun River TMDL characterized pollution from tributaries identified as major sources. Since that time, changes across the watershed may have affected relative contributions from various tributaries, including those SRWG has not been monitoring for water quality. Those changes include land management practices; irrigation updates, such as from flood to sprinkler or to higher-efficiency sprinklers; irrigation infrastructure and management updates; and implementation of restoration projects. In addition, the 2019 MSUEWQ water quality trend analysis report identifies several opportunities for improving water quality through land management practices on tributaries. SRWG needs to work with partners to devise a plan to identify which tributaries should be high priority: where would projects to improve water quality have the greatest impact?
- Unintended Effects of Irrigation Efficiencies:
Though irrigation efficiencies, such as changing from flood to sprinkler irrigation or from canals to pipes can reduce seepage and “wasted” water, SRWG should study the effects of

these practices on groundwater recharge. In addition, in some parts of the watershed it's assumed that irrigation returns or seepage may act like natural springs, contributing water to creeks and potentially providing enhanced flows and temperature refugia for fish. As more water efficiencies are implemented for the sake of water conservation or water quality, it is important to understand the unanticipated effects of these practices on other natural resource values. Water efficiency projects should be monitored to ensure saved water results in increased stream flows as a benefit.

- **Identify Other Watershed Resources to Restore and Protect:**

In creating this WRP, SRWG worked closely with multiple stakeholders and reviewed results from the 2017-18 survey of stakeholders to re-define the natural resource values this document should address. Though the WRP process intended to include only values that had direct or indirect connections to water quality, such as stream flows or fish habitat, discussions revealed that stakeholders are looking for support on more natural resource values and SRWG was reminded that the watershed includes more than the river. SRWG was encouraged to consider supporting stakeholders with predator deterrent; habitat for elk, pheasants and other wildlife; soil health; and range health. Whether SRWG takes a lead role in addressing these concerns or provides support by connecting landowners to the appropriate entity for help, SRWG should consider taking a more holistic view of watershed resource health and think outside the river.

- **Fish Movement and Habitat Use:**

FWP has long-standing survey reaches in the Sun River where they conduct annual fish sampling, and has done multiple focused studies of fish in the watershed. However, FWP and SRWG note a need to learn more about trout habitat use, connectivity, and quality across the watershed. It is important to find a way to understand how factors such as low stream flows, structural barriers, water temperatures, and pollutants are affecting fish behavior, fitness, and population dynamics. SRWG needs to work with FWP to create a strategy for identifying degraded habitat and connectivity, and to prioritize locations for restoration and improvement projects and activities.

- **More Accurate Information:**

Though data are collected across the Sun River watershed, there are areas where either more data or improved accuracy would better inform management decisions. In addition to the suggestions above, SRWG and partners should consider adding flow gages to points of diversion along the Sun River, calibrating or upgrading gages or flumes that are inaccurate, additional weather stations or SNOTEL sites, and wells to monitor seepage from ditches to create a more accurate picture of flow management, distribution, and seepage/loss. The TMDL suggests several locations for continuous temperature monitoring that are not being monitored, and DEQ suggests the watershed may benefit in places from finer-scale water quality data. Several other opportunities for improving

data are likely to exist. SRWG needs to create a dialog with partners to identify data gaps and inaccuracies, prioritize them, and take steps to remedy these gaps.

- **Progress Monitoring:**
Since the 2012 WRP was developed, SRWG has implemented many projects to improve water quality and stream flows. The reasons for creating an updated WRP was to revisit the 2012 goals with consideration of the improvements that have been made so far, to update watershed resource goals, and to consider broadening SRWG’s reach to include additional resource concerns. In undertaking this WRP update, it has become clear that data do not exist to determine progress towards many of the stated goals. Though the former WRP suggested many activities that would help make positive progress towards stated goals, it did not include a mechanism for tracking that progress and many of the activities were not time-bound. With this new WRP, SRWG seeks to set measurable objectives that are time-bound and include a mechanism for tracking progress.

Nine Elements of an EPA Watershed Restoration Plan

While this WRP addresses the specific needs and unique features of the Sun River watershed, it includes the nine elements required by the EPA for a 319-funded watershed plan for threatened or impaired waters. These nine elements can be found throughout this document as described below:

1. Identify causes and sources of pollution: *Section 1, Water Quality, Table 1*
2. Estimate pollutant loading into the watershed and the expected load reductions: *Section 1, Water Quality, Table 2*
3. Describe management measures that will achieve load reductions and targeted critical areas: *Section 1, Water Quality, “Water Quality Management Actions”*
4. Estimate amounts of technical and financial assistance and the relevant authorities needed to implement the plan: *Appendix D, Project Prioritization Matrix*
5. Develop an information/education component: *Section 6, Outreach and Education*
6. Develop a project schedule: *Section 7, Project Milestones, Table 3; Appendix D, Project Prioritization Matrix*
7. Describe the interim measurable milestones: *Section 7, Project Milestones, Table 3*
8. Identify indicators to measure progress: *Section 7, Project Milestones, and Conclusion*
9. Develop a monitoring component: *In Sections 1-6, the final paragraph of the section describes the general monitoring plan specific to each Strategic Value.*

WATERSHED BACKGROUND




Figure 1. Map of the Sun River Watershed by Montana MapWorks

The Sun River watershed is approximately 2,200 square miles and spans Cascade, Lewis & Clark, and Teton Counties. The river flows approximately 97.4 miles east, with elevation ranging from 9,000 at the Continental Divide to 3,350 feet at its confluence with the Missouri.

The geology of the watershed includes Precambrian-age sedimentary rocks to Quaternary-age alluvial deposits and exposed Precambrian to Paleozoic-age rock with tightly folded and faulted fine-grained mudstones, sandstones, and impure carbonates. Cretaceous Colorado group marine shale in the eastern and lower portion of the watershed likely contributes to the estimated annual dissolved TDS loads in and around Freezeout Lake.

Land use includes forest at the western edge, becoming range and agricultural lands to the east. The towns of Augusta, Simms, Fort Shaw, Sun River, Vaughn, Power, Fairfield, Sun Prairie, and Great Falls are all within the Sun River watershed and rely on the Sun River for municipal, residential, agricultural, and industrial water supplies, and for groundwater

recharge. The Sun River provides irrigation for over 116,000 acres, served by two irrigation districts and multiple private ditch companies.

The Sun River supports fish and wildlife, as well as recreational uses. Montana FWP annual sampling reveals Westslope Cutthroat Trout, Rainbow and Brown Trout, Mountain Whitefish, White and Mountain Sucker, and many other fish species. The Sun River Wildlife Management Area at the west end of the watershed provides important winter range for the Sun River elk herd and habitat for deer, antelope, grizzly bears, and other wildlife. Freezeout Lake on the watershed's northern boundary provides important habitat for migrating waterfowl, the snow goose migration being a particular attraction. The Sun River is popular with kayakers, campers, and anglers, facilitated by many camping, picnicking, and fishing access sites.

The Sun River was called the "Medicine" River and was important to early Native American people who hunted there. Pictographs and tepee rings can still be found throughout the watershed. Captain Lewis of the Lewis & Clark Expedition followed the Sun River to the Missouri on his return trip from the Pacific Coast, camping along "Shishequaw Creek", now known as Elk Creek. Lewis' party noted an abundance of game including deer, antelope, buffalo, and wolves.

The modern watershed is largely shaped by irrigation. Fort Shaw was established in 1867, and Sun River water was used to irrigate the Fort's land. In 1906, the Reclamation authorized the Sun River Project, which established the Greenfields and Fort Shaw Irrigation Districts and led to the construction of the Gibson Reservoir and Dam, Diversion Dam, Pishkun Dikes and Reservoir, Willow Creek Dam and Reservoir, and associated canal systems.

The Sun River is fed by the North and South forks of the Sun River, which originate in the Lewis and Clark National Forest and flow into Gibson Reservoir. Gibson Dam regulates reservoir water levels and Sun River flows. Below the dam, the Sun River flows for 3.4 miles to the Diversion Dam, which sends a portion of flows to GID. The remaining flows make up the main Sun River that runs east across the landscape towards Great Falls, with portions diverted for irrigation and excess irrigation water returning to the river farther downstream.

Sun River Watershed Group

The SRWG grew from the Muddy Creek Task Force, a grass roots group of local landowners who set out to improve water quality and reduce erosion on Muddy Creek, a major tributary to the Sun River. Through the 1990s, this group initiated many important partnerships and implemented several projects before becoming the SRWG, a 501(c)(3) addressing a variety of natural resource concerns on the Sun River and its tributaries. The mission of the SRWG is to *collaboratively protect and restore the resources of the Sun River watershed and its communities.*



Today, the SRWG includes a board of directors, paid staff, volunteers, and a suite of partners. SRWG's board meets quarterly. Strategic Values are addressed largely through committees of the board and through focused working groups. The Water Management Working Group meets in April and October, and the Water Quality Working Group meets once per year or as needed.

More information about SRWG can be found at www.sunriverwatershed.org.

WATERSHED RESTORATION PLAN

The SRWG worked with partners and stakeholders to identify key values to guide SRWG's work and further our mission to *collaboratively protect and restore the resources of the Sun River watershed and its communities*. The WRP is formatted around SRWG's Strategic Values:

- *Improved water quality* through reduction of sediment, nutrients, and temperature;
- *Adequate stream flows* in all seasons that support multiple uses including agriculture, recreation, fish, and wildlife;
- *Restored hydrologic processes*, such as floodplain connectivity and river migration;
- *Enriched natural resources*, such as soils, fish, and wildlife;
- *Noxious weeds control*;
- *Community education* about watershed resources and stewardship; and
- *Monitoring and follow-up* actions to ensure project success.

The WRP includes a section for each Strategic Value that includes that Value's history, current status, management actions, and monitoring. The "Management Actions" section for each value includes *general* types of projects SRWG implements to address that Value.

Following the Strategic Values section, the WRP includes a Projects and Milestones section, describing the objectives, timeline for activities, and which Strategic Value will be affected by each activity. *Specific* project information is detailed in the Project Prioritization Matrix [Appendix D], which is updated quarterly. This enables SRWG to use the WRP for guidance and long-term goal-setting, with the flexibility of the Matrix, which is regularly updated and used for SRWG's work plan. The Project Screening Tool, which is used by the Project Committee to review, approve, and prioritize projects included in the Matrix is also included as Appendix C.



SECTION 1. WATER QUALITY

SRWG seeks to improve water quality through reduction of sediment, nutrients, and temperature.

History

Addressing water quality issues has been the backbone of the SRWG’s work, as the organization’s founding purpose was to improve water quality in Muddy Creek. Water quality assessments by DEQ, the 2004 TMDL document and the 2012 WRP helped describe and prioritize areas for expanding water quality projects, identifying key water bodies as impaired or threatened. Sun River waterbodies on Montana’s List of Impaired Waters are described in the Table 1.

Table 1. Probable impairments and sources for listed Sun River waterbodies.

Waterbody	Probable Cause of Impairment	Probable Sources	TMDL
FORD CREEK two miles above Smith Creek [T20N R8W S25] to mouth	Alteration in Stream-side or Littoral Vegetative Covers; Other Anthropogenic Substrate Alterations	Grazing in Riparian or Shoreline Zones; Channel Erosion-Incision from Upstream Hydromodifications; Streambank Modifications-destabilization	N/A
	Sedimentation-Siltation	Grazing in Riparian or Shoreline Zones; Channel Erosion-Incision from Upstream Hydromodifications; Streambank Modifications-destabilization	Yes
HUBER COULEE	Escherichia coli [E. Coli]	Leaking Underground Storage Tanks; Manure Runoff	No
MUDDY CREEK	Total Nitrogen; Total Phosphorus; Salinity; Sedimentation-Siltation; Sulfate; Temperature; Total Dissolved Solids [TDS]	Habitat Modification – other than Hydromodification; Streambank Modifications-destabilization; Channel Erosion-Incision from Upstream Hydromodifications; Agriculture	Yes
	Selenium	Crop Production [Crop Land or Dry Land]	Yes
UPPER SUN RIVER Gibson Dam to Muddy Creek	Alteration in Stream-side or Littoral Vegetative Covers; Flow Regime Modification	Impacts from Hydrostructure Flow Regulation-modification; Channelization	N/A
	Sedimentation-Siltation	Grazing in Riparian or Shoreline Zones; Agriculture	Yes
	Temperature	Impacts from Hydrostructure Flow Regulation-modification; Channelization	Yes

LOWER SUN RIVER Muddy Creek to mouth	Flow Regime Modification	Crop Production [Irrigated]	N/A
	Total Nitrogen; Total Phosphorus	Agriculture; Rangeland Grazing; Crop Production [Irrigated]	Yes
	Sedimentation-Siltation; Total Suspended Solids [TSS]	Channelization; Crop Production [Irrigated]; Rangeland Grazing	Yes
FREEZEOUT LAKE	Metals; Organic Enrichment, DO, Salinity, TDS, Chlorides	Agriculture, Crop Production [Irrigated and non-irrigated]	Yes

Though water from Freezeout Lake flows into the Teton River, Freezeout Lake is included in the Sun River watershed TMDL because its water quality is largely influenced by irrigation drainage from GID and activities in the Sun River watershed.

The TMDL document contains estimates of load reductions required to meet individual TMDL's for listed Sun River watershed streams and can be found in Table 2.

Table 2. Required load reduction for listed waterbodies.

Waterbody	Required Load Reduction	TMDL Reference
FORD CREEK	Sediment: 21%	Pg. 141, Fig. 9-5
MUDDY CREEK	TDS: 20% during April Selenium: 36% February - April TN: 66% at 100 cfs TP: 83% at 100 cfs Sediment: 15%	Pg. 60 Pg. 85 Pg. 111, Fig. 8-15 Pg. 111, Fig. 8-15 Pg. 155
UPPER SUN RIVER Gibson Dam to Muddy Creek	Sediment: 34%	Pg. 172, Fig. 9-28
LOWER SUN RIVER Muddy Creek to mouth	TN: 57% at 400 cfs TP: 45% at 400 cfs Sediment: 25%	Pg. 124, Fig. 8-23 Pg. 124, Fig. 8-23 Pg. 186, Fig. 9-33
FREEZEOUT LAKE*	TDS: 37.5% Selenium: 93-95%	Pg. 48, Table 6-6 Pg. 78, Table 7-6

* Required reductions for Freezeout Lake vary by source location/area. See TMDL for detailed information.

Though not identified on the List of Impaired Waters, the following streams were identified in the TMDL as being probable sources of impairment:

- Duck Creek
- Big Coulee
- Adobe Creek
- Mill Coulee
- Willow Creek




Figure 2: Map of SRWG water quality monitoring and stream gage sites by Montana MapWorks

To address sediment, nutrient, and temperature concerns identified in the TMDL, SRWG created a monitoring plan and began implementing projects to improve water quality. Water quality data are collected at established, long-term sites [Figure 2: Map of SRWG water quality monitoring and stream gage sites] from April through October in order to capture samples at base flow, growing season, and high flows each year. Water quality monitoring sites were chosen based on their proximity to reaches identified in the TMDL, or because they are identified in the TMDL as key streams to restore for maximum benefit to the full watershed. Water quality monitoring includes taking photos at established points; in situ measurements, such as pH, flow, temperature, and turbidity; and collection of water samples to be analyzed at the lab for Total Nitrogen [TN], Total Phosphorus [TP], Nitrate + Nitrite [NO₃+NO₂], and Total Suspended Solids [TSS]. SRWG's data collection protocols are fully described in the Sun River Watershed Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Standard Operation Procedures [SOP] and annual Sampling and Analysis Plan [SAP] documents. The data collected can be found on the MSUEWQ [Data Hub](#). In addition, as recommended in the TMDL, water temperature is monitored continuously at the Simms USGS stream gage.

Salinity and selenium are significant pollutants, especially for Freezeout Lake, Lower Sun River, and Muddy Creek, and targets are set in the TMDL for salinity and selenium in these

three waterbodies. SRWG's annual monitoring program includes measuring specific conductivity and turbidity in the field and analysis of lab samples for TSS, however, Freezeout Lake is not included in annual monitoring. To date, SRWG has sought to improve salinity and selenium through irrigation efficiencies, such as converting from flood to sprinkler irrigation and by reducing leakage in conveyance systems in key areas. SRWG has worked with Montana Salinity Control Association to identify areas and techniques for reducing salinity to improve water quality.

To learn how water quality parameters change over time, SRWG has periodically commissioned Adam Sigler from MSUEWQ to perform data analysis, most recently in 2019. The full 2019 analysis report is available at SRWG's [website](#). Some results include:

- Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorus concentrations are higher on some tributaries of the Sun River and exceed MT DEQ nutrient targets in some cases.
- The data show no signs of increasing concentrations of nitrate, total nitrogen, or total phosphorus over the 15-year data period.
- There are significant decreases in at least one nutrient for at least one season at the Sun River at Great Falls, Adobe Creek, and Big Coulee sites. Overall, six of the 18 nutrient site combinations have a significant decreasing trend.
- When nitrogen concentrations are highest in a stream during baseflow, it suggests a groundwater source (for all sample sites except the Augusta site on the Sun River).

These analyses suggest there are opportunities for nitrogen-related projects on Mill Coulee and Muddy Creek, and projects to encourage healthy riparian vegetation facilitate the removal of nitrogen before it enters the stream. The report mentions that elevated nitrogen in groundwater suggests opportunities for increasing nitrogen use efficiency to increase crop yields and protein to improve farm revenues while protecting water quality.

Impacts of Sun River impairments extend beyond the watershed. The City of Great Falls and Montana FWP monitor water quality on the Missouri River and note the Sun River is a major contributor of sediment to the Missouri. This affects fish habitat, water temperatures, sediment loading at dams, and other aspects of Missouri River health. Sediment routinely fouls the water intake for Wadsworth Pond in Great Falls, which is filled from the Sun River.

In the past, SRWG has implemented many projects to address water quality, prioritizing key reaches and tributaries described above. These projects have included bank stabilization, riparian grazing management, riparian plantings, and irrigation water management projects [irrigation efficiencies]. A full list of SRWG past projects can be found in Appendix B. In addition, SRWG's Water Quality Working Group meets 1-2 times per year, or as needed, to discuss water quality goals, progress, and strategies.

Current Status

Muddy Creek

SRWG is continuing its long-standing focus on Muddy Creek. Approximately 15,635 tons of sediment flow out of the Muddy Creek subbasin and into the Sun River annually, a short distance upstream from the confluence of the Sun and the Missouri. Muddy Creek also contributes elevated levels of nutrients and salts to the Sun and Missouri Rivers. SRWG is developing a Muddy Creek Master Plan to guide long-term and short-term efforts to improve water quality [to be completed in June 2022]. The plan will include projects to be implemented in the next 3-5 years, as well as large-scale solutions that will take multiple partners and many years to complete. The plan will also include a list of recommended best management practices for landowners on the creek who seek to be good stewards.



Photo 1: Muddy Creek flows add sediment and nutrients to the Sun River, from Google Maps



Photo 2: Sun River flows add substantial sediment to the Missouri River at Great Falls, from Google Maps

As suggested in the TMDL, SRWG has implemented several Management Measures to address NPS, including:

- Riparian buffers
- Grazing management plans
- Replaced undersized culverts with a bridge (on Lower Muddy Creek)
- Riparian fencing

Other Focus Areas

Throughout the rest of the Sun River watershed, water quality improvement projects are incorporated into projects to improve stream flows and restore hydrologic processes. One example is near the town of Fort Shaw, where the Sun River has avulsed into Adobe Creek and an unnamed historic channel. SRWG is working with Cascade Conservation District, landowners, and water managers to address the avulsion while maintaining natural processes and reducing bank erosion. Another example is SRWG's work through the Water Management Working Group to document the process for managing Gibson Reservoir and improve stream flows in the river. By increasing river flows, we will lower water temperatures and improve fish habitat, connectivity, and food production. These and other actions are described later in this document under their primary resource values, but also have positive impacts on water quality.

SRWG works with partners such as Montana Salinity Control Association, DEQ, MSUEWQ, and NRCS to identify areas and actions to improve water quality through projects. These are important partners for helping SRWG identify impairment sources, collect and analyze data, provide cost-sharing for projects, and monitor changing water quality conditions.

SRWG will use this WRP and past reports and analyses to continue implementing projects to improve water quality across the watershed.

Water Quality Management Actions

SRWG will continue to seek out, develop and implement water quality improvement activities throughout the Sun River watershed. Projects and activities will be identified and prioritized according to SRWG's Project Prioritization Matrix [Appendix D] for the following management actions:

- Reduce animal waste and other livestock impacts through grazing management, such as fencing and vegetative buffers on key tributaries identified in TMDL
- Improve vegetation on banks for stabilization, shade, and nutrient filtering, especially where identified in 2019 Nutrient Trend Analysis
- Continue annual water quality monitoring and regular data analyses
- Coordinate with City of Great Falls and FWP to better learn how Sun River impairments are affecting the Missouri River

- Implement projects addressing other SRWG Strategic Values that improve water quality as an ancillary benefit. For example, water efficiency projects may reduce irrigation return flows to surface water, reducing impairment inputs.
- Work with MSCA to identify opportunities to reduce salinity and selenium
- Revisit TMDL recommendations about Freezeout Lake monitoring and actions and work with DEQ to prioritize
- Promote water quality and nonpoint source pollution education through partnerships and communication
- Seek designation as a future DEQ focus watershed for 319 funding to enable more projects

SRWG's TMDL cites septic tanks as a potential source of nutrients, though not likely to be significant compared to agricultural inputs. At this time, SRWG is not prioritizing work or monitoring of septic systems, as this work is ably led by Montana Rural Water Systems.

Monitoring Project Success

SRWG has an established water quality monitoring program in place that will continue. SRWG will work with MT DEQ and MSUEWQ to determine locations and methodology for additional monitoring sites that may be added to better describe progress towards goals, and to continue periodic analysis of data. For example, as the Muddy Creek Master Plan projects are implemented, it may be desirable to increase monitoring efforts to gauge which projects are having the most impact and to identify and prioritize areas for future work.

One useful tool that is part of SRWG's water quality monitoring is establishing photo points. Where projects are anticipated to reduce erosion or improve riparian vegetation, SRWG should also establish photo points and take photos at regular intervals to record changes.



SECTION 2. STREAM FLOWS

SRWG will work with partners to ensure adequate stream flows in all seasons that support multiple uses including agriculture, recreation, fish, and wildlife.

History

In most years, as much as 90% of Sun River flows comes from precipitation above Gibson Dam. Responsibility for managing the storage and distribution of that water falls to GID, as the operator of Gibson Dam, with support from Reclamation. GID must balance this limited water supply with the demand from irrigators, other water managers who divert flows from the Sun River, and the needs of fish, wildlife, domestic & municipal uses, and recreation. Changes in water orders, precipitation levels, and poor communication can lead to extreme fluctuations in the river and tributaries. These fluctuations directly affect fish habitat, erode river banks, and affect hydrologic processes in other ways. The 2002 TMDL states that “[l]ow in-stream flow is the biggest obstacle for meeting all uses and achieving sediment and temperature targets in the upper Sun River.” In short, stream flows and flow fluctuations are closely tied to many other strategic values identified by SRWG.

With water being a limited resource, and management of that resource falling to other entities, SRWG’s role is largely to facilitate communication to set and meet target stream flows that support multiple water uses and to implement projects that help keep more water in the river. SRWG created the Sun River Water Management Working Group, a collaborative of water users, managers, and stakeholders in Sun River that meet bi-annually. The Working Group relies on a network of stream gages and SNOTEL sites to understand and forecast water availability and distribution.

The 2012 WRP outlined specific goals for water quantity: 1] meeting FWP’s wetted perimeter discharge requirements for survival of aquatic communities (drought minimum – 100 cfs above Elk Creek, drought minimum 130 cfs below Elk Creek, and non-drought minimum 220 cfs for all; 2] reducing Muddy Creek flows to 150 cfs to reduce erosion; and 3] reducing Big Coulee flows to 50 cfs to reduce erosion. Early SRWG projects to address these goals and improve stream flows in general focused largely on communication, information, and improved irrigation efficiency. Communication has been improved through regular meetings of the Water Management Working Group, SRWG attendance at meetings of the local irrigation districts, and outreach to water managers and stakeholders. SRWG has improved information about stream flows by facilitating payment for USGS stream gage sites, working with DNRC to set up additional monitoring sites, and operating and maintaining several seasonal stream gages on tributaries of the Sun River. Irrigation efficiency projects have included ditch lining or converting open ditches to pipe and switching to automated head gates. A full list of past projects can be found in Appendix B.



Figure 3: Sun River watershed stream gages and SNOTEL sites, image from www.sunriverwatershed.org/river-conditions

Current Status

SRWG continues to focus on communication, information, and irrigation efficiency as means to ensure adequate stream flows for multiple uses in all seasons. SRWG and partners have made progress towards the goals stated in the 2012 WRP:

Sun River Minimum Flows

Achieve FWP's wetted perimeter discharge requirements for survival of aquatic communities (drought minimum – 100 cfs above Elk Creek, drought minimum 130 cfs below Elk Creek, and non-drought minimum 220 cfs for all).

Over the past two years, SRWG facilitated collaboration between GID and Reclamation to document operations of the Gibson Reservoir, setting minimum low flows and addressing the desire for higher target flows. The minimum flow objective stated in the 2002 TMDL to meet “...FWP Sun River minimum instream flow of 130 cfs between Elk Creek and Muddy Creek...” has led to some misunderstanding that 130 cfs is the goal, rather than the absolute minimum. Recent communication and operations improvements have resulted in an absolute minimum flow of 100 cfs over Diversion Dam and 130 cfs at the Simms gage, however FWP states the preferred flow for fish food production in this reach is 220-360 cfs. SRWG and partners continue to pursue irrigation efficiency and water savings opportunities that would result in more progress towards this goal.

Muddy Creek Flow Reduction

Reduce Muddy Creek flows to 150 cfs to reduce erosion.

Muddy Creek flows remain greater than 150 cfs during the irrigation season. Muddy Creek flows are impacted by irrigation return flows across GID and from the Sun River Valley Ditch Company, as well as other agricultural operations around the creek. Though it’s difficult to track every source of Muddy Creek flows, major work is being done on Spring Coulee Creek, one of the larger flow sources. GID and SRWG have several projects in progress and planned to expand GID’s ability to mitigate and manage flows. SRWG’s Muddy Creek Master Plan will identify additional strategies for reducing flows in Muddy Creek.

Big Coulee Flow Reduction

Reduce Big Coulee flows to 50 cfs to reduce erosion.

Significant progress has not been made towards the goal of reducing Big Coulee flows to 50 and SRWG will continue working on this issue.

The TMDL notes that irrigation efficiency projects are important for reducing pollutants in the Sun River and tributaries. However, this is only true when efficiency projects result in more water being left in the stream, less water being transferred from one stream to another, or a decrease in pollutant levels in return flows. SRWG should consider multiple benefits a project is expected to deliver when prioritizing water efficiency projects. This means assessing the benefits the project is expected to bring not just for stream flows, but also for water quality and fish habitat connectivity.

The Sun River Water Management Working Group continues to meet before and after the irrigation season each year, typically in April and October. SRWG was awarded a DNRC Irrigation Development Grant for 2022-23 which supports meetings of this working group and other efforts to improve communication between water users. This grant will also help SRWG and partners identify water savings projects and drought preparedness planning.

Stream Flow Management Actions

SRWG will continue to seek out, develop and implement stream flow improvement activities throughout the Sun River watershed. Projects and activities will be identified and prioritized according to SRWG's Project Prioritization Matrix [Appendix D] for the following management actions:

- Frequently revisit target flows set for Sun River, adapting targets as water efficiency projects improve seasonal flows
- Reduce Muddy Creek flows to 150 cfs
- Reduce Big Coulee Creek flows to 50 cfs
- Work with MSCA to identify water efficiency projects that will also positively impact salinity and selenium in water quality
- Improve accuracy of water measurements to enable better management decisions
- Sustain and improve snow and streamflow data, reliability, and incorporation into water management decisions
- Continue to improve communications among water users and water managers to fine-tune river operations and irrigation water management and improve flow in the Sun River
- Plan for extended or more frequent drought
- Implement projects addressing other SRWG Values that improve stream flow as an ancillary benefit [i.e. habitat improvement or irrigation efficiency projects]

Monitoring Project Success

To monitor improvements to river flows and irrigations efficiencies, SRWG will rely on the stream gage network. SRWG will continue to manage and operate seasonal stream gages on tributaries of the Sun River. This includes reinstallation of the Upper Muddy Creek gage, and collaboration with DNRC and Lewis & Clark Conservation District to gage Elk Creek. SRWG will also work with USGS and partners to ensure long-term funding of USGS gages. SRWG will work with the Water Management Working group to periodically assess whether additional gages are needed.

SRWG and water management partners frequently view stream gages to assess flows and at Water Management Working Group meetings (and between meetings when necessary), discuss whether target flows are being met. The group also discusses potential practices and projects that can be implemented to improve progress towards target stream flows.



SECTION 3. HYDROLOGIC PROCESSES

SRWG works to restore hydrologic processes such as floodplain connectivity and river migration.

History

The Sun River has a history of migrating across the floodplain, flooding, and eroding banks. These are natural processes, important for transport of nutrients, sediment movement and sorting, development of riparian vegetation, and groundwater recharge. Along the Sun River, humans are constantly fighting these natural processes, installing riprap and levees to protect farms, irrigation infrastructure, towns, and roads. For a healthy watershed, a balance must be struck between restoring natural processes and protecting human needs and safety.

Sun River characteristics vary as it crosses the landscape – from a steep, mountain river confined by the canyon in the west, to a highly dynamic system with a wide floodplain as it flows east. The Sun River below the canyon is highly migratory, a process thoroughly described in the Sun River Channel Migration Zone report completed in 2021.

Historic photos show that the Sun River has avulsed multiple times – rapidly migrating into new channels or reactivating old channels. A combination of drought years and irrigation infrastructure reduced channel avulsions through the late 1900s and early 2000s, but currently there are two reaches identified as high-risk of avulsion, one of which is actively avulsing. In addition, these low-water years also allowed vegetation to encroach on the river, narrowing the channel and making it more susceptible to dramatic change in the next flood.

Studies have been commissioned to understand the nature of the Sun River, identify problem areas, and prioritize projects. The most recent reports have included a 2014 study by Warren Kellogg and the Sun River Channel Migration Zone [CMZ] Report, completed in 2021.

Current Status

The avulsion of the Sun River at Adobe Creek is an issue SRWG and Cascade Conservation District are addressing. Though avulsions are natural river migration processes, this avulsion is flooding and eroding agricultural lands and threatening water supply to water users. Cascade CD has acquired funding to hire a consultant to design a project to reduce flood risk and damage to agricultural land infrastructure while maintaining healthy hydrologic processes. Project engineers will evaluate the root causes and the net effects of the erosion and deposition occurring in the affected stream reaches. The design will balance important hydrologic processes and agricultural land and water use.

Other projects SRWG is pursuing include those on Muddy Creek, described in the Water Quality section, which will restore floodplain connectivity and other hydrologic function, and flood recovery and mitigation projects around Elk Creek. SRWG is working with Lewis & Clark Conservation District and local landowners to understand how to reduce impacts of

future floods on town and agricultural infrastructure while maintaining important hydrologic processes associated with high water.

The CMZ report was completed by Applied Geomorphology in August 2021. SRWG’s board and partners are reviewing that report and identifying high-priority projects to pursue in the coming years. The Muddy Creek Master Plan, to be completed in June 2022, will identify additional opportunities for restoring hydrologic processes, as will Warren Kellogg’s 2014 Sun River Watershed Assessment.

In addition to natural channel migration, flooding, and drought, the watershed is impacted by irrigation. SRWG and partners pursue projects to improve irrigation efficiencies, such as changing from flood to sprinkler irrigation or improving irrigation efficiency through piping or lining ditches. However, the impacts these “water efficiency” actions have on other hydrologic processes are not well understood. As water efficiency is improved, there may be unintended effects to groundwater recharge, timing of recharge, “spring” fed creek temperatures, or other hydrologic processes. While these processes may not be entirely natural in origin, the basin has been modified by irrigation for over 100 years and some effects of these processes have become integral to local water and land use practices.

Hydrologic Processes Management Actions

SRWG will continue to seek out, develop and implement hydrologic process improvement activities throughout the Sun River watershed. Projects and activities will be identified and prioritized according to SRWG’s Project Prioritization Matrix [Appendix D] for the following management actions:

- Identify long-term watershed-scale approaches to restoring hydrologic processes
- Identify, assess, and prioritize reach-scale projects to re-establish hydrologic processes
- Study effects of irrigation method changes on groundwater recharge
- Educate the public about channel migration and natural hydrologic processes
- Implement projects addressing other SRWG Values that improve hydrologic processes as an ancillary benefit [i.e. habitat improvement or irrigation efficiency projects]

Monitoring Project Success

Most monitoring of hydrologic process improvements will be project-specific and related to project goals. SRWG will, at a minimum, set up photo points at key locations to assess efficacy of future projects. At a watershed-scale, water quality monitoring and stream gages may provide some insight to long-term trends and improvements to hydrologic processes. SRWG will work with the developers of the Channel Migration Study to determine the methods and frequency of monitoring to assess long-term, watershed-scale improvements to hydrologic processes.



SECTION 4. WATERSHED NATURAL RESOURCES

SRWG supports healthy natural resources including, but not limited to, soil, fish, and wildlife.

History

SRWG's focus has historically included stream flows, water quality, and weeds, with ancillary benefits to other resources such as soil and fish habitat. When SRWG conducted stakeholder surveys in 2017-18 to learn what resource concerns and project ideas our partners and local landowners had, we learned that there was a need to address more issues – from baling twine hazards for raptors to encouraging the US Forest Service to include the watershed in their planning process. Watershed Natural Resources is a new Strategic Value added to this WRP to allow SRWG to address fish and wildlife habitat, soil health, and other resource concerns not addressed elsewhere in this plan.

Sun River Fishery

The Sun River above Gibson Dam was historically fishless due to the waterfall that Diversion Dam is now built upon. Fish introductions occurred throughout this drainage since colonization by pioneers. These historic introductions, including efforts by Montana FWP, have moved White Suckers, Brook Trout, Rainbow Trout, Brown Trout, Westslope Cutthroat Trout, and Arctic Grayling into the upper portion of the Sun River watershed. Rainbow Trout were annually stocked in Gibson Reservoir to create recreational opportunities, however, due to extreme reservoir fluctuations, these populations did not thrive and stocking ceased.

The North and South Forks of the Sun River contain Rainbow Trout, Westslope Cutthroat Trout, and Westslope Cutthroat x Rainbow Trout hybrids. These populations originate from historical hatchery stocking that has established fluvial populations. A small Arctic Grayling population is present in the lower South Fork Sun River as a remnant of an attempt to establish a conservation population, though it is not considered a viable conservation population. The mainstem of the Sun River was stocked with Rainbow Trout, Brown Trout, Brook Trout, and Cutthroat Trout beginning in the 1930s. With the exception of the Arctic Grayling efforts, FWP ended river stocking in 1973. The Sun River below Diversion Dam currently supports Brown Trout, Rainbow Trout, and Mountain Whitefish populations.

The Upper Sun drainage fishery has been sampled by snorkel surveys since 1995. These surveys estimate the total number of trout [8 inches and greater] per mile. Recent estimates place the South Fork Sun River trout population approximately at 641 [6-year average] to 670 [12-year average] trout/mile with an average length of 11.5 inches. The North Fork Sun River population averages 583 [12-year average] to 716 [6-year average] trout /mile.

The mainstem of the Sun River is sampled annually by mobile anode electroshocking at Highway 287, Simms, and Sun River sites. The total number of trout [8 inches and greater] per mile were 183, 168, and 85 trout per mile in 2021, compared to long-term averages of 190, 86, and 95 trout/mile. Population estimates at the two upstream sites have generally

been greater in recent years than during the late 1990s and early 2000s, however they are still well below population densities upstream of Gibson Reservoir. Monitoring of these locations has demonstrated how suppressed the fisheries are due to chronic dewatering and associated habitat loss and higher water temperatures. Irrigation diversions also likely play a role in suppressing fish passage and migration throughout the Sun River watershed and result in direct loss through entrainment into canals. Fish survey results for 1997-2021 can be found in Appendix E.

Sun River Wildlife

The Sun River watershed supports a wide range of wildlife including grizzly bears, antelope, deer, big horn sheep, waterfowl, raptors, and other birds and small animals. The Sun River Wildlife Refuge at the western extent of the watershed provides important protected winter range for elk. Freezeout Lake is an important stopover for migrating snow geese twice a year. The wildlife of the Sun River watershed is important for intrinsic values, as well as for recreation and hunting opportunities and the associated economic values.

The Upper Sun River Wildlife Team meets multiple times annually to discuss wildlife in the watershed. This working group supports local and state wildlife initiatives and research, such as the Montana Statewide Bighorn Research Initiative and annual mule deer and moose reports. Wildlife is managed by FWP and the US Fish and Wildlife Service, and organizations such as Pheasants Forever and Ducks Unlimited support habitat improvement projects.

SRWG is well-poised to support wildlife habitat projects and outreach efforts by partner organizations. Examples include supporting weed control efforts by the USFS and FWP to improve natural vegetation desired by wildlife, organizing an ammunition pick up after hunting season at Freezeout Lake, or coordinating habitat improvement projects with FWP, Ducks Unlimited, Pheasants Forever and other partners to benefit a range of wildlife species.

Lately, grizzly interactions with humans have increased. SRWG has been asked by multiple organizations to help landowners acquire cost-share funding to implement predator-deterrent resources on farms and ranches, assisting with public outreach about food and other attractant storage, educating boaters and anglers to be “bear aware”, and about initiating a carcass pick-up program to reduce on-farm attractants. SRWG is not likely to take the lead on these activities, but could play an important role in fundraising, outreach, and directing landowners and citizens towards the appropriate resources.

Soils and Other Resources

SRWG’s strategic values to improve water quality and stream flow and to control weeds go hand-in-hand with other resource concerns, such as soil conservation and restoration of native plant species. SRWG projects to improve water efficiency, riparian health, and wildlife habitat are likely to benefit many other natural resources as well. SRWG has partners, such as local conservation districts, FWP, and NRCS who have vast experience and skill in

addressing these resource concerns. SRWG can serve the resource well by connecting landowners to the appropriate support agency.

The TMDL notes that groundwater discharge from fallow cropland or bare, grazed lands is a major source of salinity and selenium in Muddy Creek and Freezeout Lake. By working with partners such as MSCA and NRCS, SRWG can identify key areas and actions to reduce salinity and selenium, such as restoring vegetation, reducing grazing impacts, and altering cropping practices, and to help provide cost-share to farmers willing to implement these practices.

The NRCS Long Range Plans for Teton, Cascade, and Lewis & Clark Counties describe natural resource concerns, as informed by watershed stakeholders. SRWG works with NRCS, landowners, conservation districts, and other entities to address these resource concerns.

Natural Resource Management Actions

SRWG will continue to seek out, develop and implement natural resource improvement activities throughout the Sun River watershed. Projects and activities will be identified and prioritized according to SRWG's Project Prioritization Matrix [Appendix D] for the following management actions:

- Improve fish habitat and connectivity, including removal of fish passage barriers
- Protect and improve habitat for key wildlife species
- Promote practices and projects to improve soil health
- Support responsible interactions between people and wildlife, including predators
- Increase public awareness and support for fish, wildlife, and other resources
- Identify opportunities to change grazing and crop practices to reduce saline seeps
- Identify and improve other natural resources in the watershed
- Support partner projects and connect landowners to partner resources
- Implement projects addressing other SRWG Values that improve natural resources as an ancillary benefit [i.e. improving Sun River flows to achieve target flows will improve fish habitat]

Monitoring Project Success

Monitoring of fish and wildlife is done by FWP. If SRWG instigates a habitat improvement project, it will include a monitoring plan that will be approved, if not executed, by FWP or an appropriate resource management agency.



SECTION 5. WEED CONTROL

SRWG works with partners to control noxious weeds.

History

Weed control in the watershed has mostly focused on reducing weeds in the upper watershed – though projects have included parts of the lower watershed as well. Annual weed spray days are organized by the US Forest Service on Elk Creek and Ford Creek, west of Augusta, and in the Sun Canyon. SRWG organizes a Weed Whacker Rodeo weed pull each year, which focuses on public use areas and drainages in the Sun Canyon. These main events are complemented by weed pulls and spray events organized by the Rocky Mountain Front Weed Roundtable, Pull Your Share student knapweed pulls, and efforts to control weeds on private properties. SRWG has also worked with the Rocky Mountain Front Weed Roundtable to collect and release biocontrols.

The largest weed control event is the SRWG Weed Whacker Rodeo, held annually since 1998. Over the years, volunteers have logged over 4,000 hours pulling more than 17,000 lbs of knapweed in the Sun Canyon. This event is endorsed by local businesses and individuals who donate funds to support the event and prizes that are awarded to volunteers. Controlling weeds in the canyon is SRWG’s strategy to reduce their spread downstream.

While knapweed and houndstongue are the primary weeds SRWG and partners focus on, there are other noxious weeds in the watershed, including whitetop, cheatgrass, and leafy spurge. SRWG follows the lead of the RMFWR and weed districts to prioritize weed control.

Current Status

SRWG continues to support USFS weed spray events and to host the Weed Whacker Rodeo, which now occurs regularly on the second Saturday in July, with the 25th annual Rodeo in 2023. Recently, SRWG has organized annual social media campaigns, such as “Weed Wednesday,” to spread awareness about weeds, control measures, and sources of support for landowners. SRWG plays an important role in weed education, workshops, and sources for information, as well as connecting volunteers to weed control opportunities. Budget limitations have prevented SRWG from expanding the weed program, but as funding allows, SRWG should grow the program to help address more weeds across more of the watershed.

Weed Control Management Actions

SRWG will continue to seek out, develop and implement weed control throughout the Sun River watershed. Projects and activities will be identified and prioritized according to SRWG’s Project Prioritization Matrix [Appendix D] for the following management actions:

- Suppress or control noxious weeds throughout the watershed
- Support partners’ weed control efforts in the watershed

- Help landowners connect with resources that can help with weed control
- Grow awareness about noxious weeds and efforts to control them

Monitoring Project Success

Each spring, SRWG staff does visual surveys of weed “hot spots” in the Sun Canyon. These are areas that volunteers focus on during the Weed Whacker Rodeo. SRWG also coordinates with Pull Your Share, USFS, and RMFWR, who also do visual surveys, and works with individual landowners to get an idea of where weeds are improving or spreading.



SECTION 6. OUTREACH AND EDUCATION

SRWG helps educate local communities about watershed resources and stewardship.

History

Community outreach and education have been core values of SRWG since the beginning. SRWG created and distributed brochures about collaboration and watershed issues and held workshops on topics that include water quality monitoring, grazing management practices, and irrigation water management. SRWG has also hosted tours to show stakeholders firsthand the issues and implemented projects across the watershed. SRWG's long-time water quality monitoring volunteer, Rai Hahn, also had a Sun River Science Club that exposed students to natural resource issues and supported multiple student research projects. SRWG has long been involved with local landowners, conservation districts, NRCS partnership projects, community commissioners, and Montana Watershed Coordination Council events.

Current Status

In addition to continuing the outreach efforts described above, SRWG now conducts outreach on-line through social media, the SRWG website, and list-serve. SRWG is currently developing a Fundraising and Outreach Plan that describes specific objectives and milestones for Outreach and Education.

The overarching objectives of Outreach and Education are for *SRWG to provide information to stakeholders* and for *SRWG to gather information from stakeholders*. Providing information to stakeholders includes facilitating educational workshops for landowners and tours of watershed projects and issues. It also includes sharing information, such as recently completed reports or news about upcoming projects. SRWG gathers information from stakeholders about natural resource issues, news, and events, by attending meetings of other organizations or by holding exploratory meetings, such as an open house, where two-way communication is encouraged. SRWG outreach and education efforts may be specific to a project or natural resource value, or may be intended to grow SRWG's profile in general. Key audiences for SRWG's outreach and education efforts include project partners, landowners, funding entities, and the public.

SRWG builds existing and new stakeholder relationships through hosting events and participating in events hosted by partners with shared interests or goals. Examples of outreach events SRWG hosts include:

- 25th Anniversary Watershed Tour and Celebration
- Annual Weed Whacker Rodeo
- Bashin' Trash River Clean Up (co-hosted with multiple partners)
- Rain Barrel Workshop (co-hosted with Cascade Conservation District)
- Suds for the Sun Pint Night
- BBQ at the Ball Park

Objectives and Future Projects

Objectives for SRWG’s outreach and education efforts include:

- Grow community knowledge, involvement, and support for watershed issues
- Promote SRWG WRP as a vehicle to address watershed issues
- Enhance SRWG’s understanding of natural resource concerns, potential projects, and partnership opportunities

This will be accomplished through SRWG execution of:

- Creating an interactive watershed map highlighting SRWG work (2022-2023)
- Continuing events like the Rain Barrel Workshop, Bashin’ Trash River Cleanup, and Weed Whacker Rodeo (annually)
- One-on-one meetings and follow up actions with stakeholders to learn about individual issues, build relationships, and enact strategies for resource improvements (ongoing)
- Annual year-end reports (annually)
- Board and working group meetings rotated through watershed communities to encourage participation by residents across different sectors (quarterly board meetings, semi-annual working group meetings)
- Development of new workshops based on natural resource needs identified through stakeholder engagement (goal of one workshop per year)
- Sharing WRP and other key reports online and at special meetings (ongoing)
- Seeking and engaging with new partners (ongoing)
- Focused social media campaigns that align with natural resource concerns (one per quarter)
- Participation in the above when held by other stakeholders (ongoing)

SRWG’s specific outreach and education goals, including milestones and timeline will be described in SRWG’s Fundraising and Outreach Plan [in development].

SECTION 7. PROJECTS AND MILESTONES

In 2017-18, SRWG conducted a survey of stakeholders and partners to determine what they saw as the main resource concerns and project needs throughout the Sun River watershed. In addition, SRWG and partners have completed many reports about watershed health, most recently the 2014 Special Report by Warren Kellogg and the 2020 Channel Migration Zone Report by Applied Geomorphology. The results from these surveys and reports helped inform SRWG’s mission statement and Strategic Values, and helped set the objectives and milestones described in this WRP.

SRWG’s Project Committee uses these surveys, reports, and regular communications with stakeholders to inform the Project Prioritization Matrix, which lays out the specific projects that will be implemented to support the objectives and milestones in this WRP. The Project Committee meets regularly to review, approve, and prioritize projects proposed by SRWG staff and partners, using the Project Screening Tool [Appendix C]. Once projects have been approved by the Committee and prioritized, SRWG staff lists them on the Project Prioritization Matrix [Appendix D] and begins pursuing funding sources. The Matrix is reviewed and updated quarterly and shared with the SRWG board at each quarterly board meeting.

Table 3 describes projects and milestones to be implemented as part of this WRP. For specific project information, please refer to the Project Prioritization Matrix [Appendix D].

Table 3. SRWG projects, milestones, and Strategic Values.

MILESTONE	VALUES*					
	WQ	SF	HP	NR	W	M
Within two years [2024]						
Reinstall upper Muddy Creek gage station at new location.						X
Work with FWP to add fish sampling sites on Muddy Creek.				X		X
Establish photo points and, if possible, water quality monitoring stations at key points along Muddy Creek to record current [pre-construction] conditions. Continue monitoring through and after construction of Muddy Creek Master Plan projects.	X					X
Develop monitoring plan for upper Muddy Creek to quantify flow savings associated with water efficiency improvements, i.e. pump backs, TIPS, J-waste way and head gate replacements.						X
Work with FSID to have flume and gage at Sun River head gate accuracy improved		X	X			X
Grow weed program by increasing outreach and volunteer recruitment for 25 th WWR anniversary in 2023.					X	
Construct three or more small-scale, low-cost restoration projects, such as in-channel structures, targeted bank protection, etc. [Low-tech, process-based restoration, on streams identified in the TMDL.]	X	X	X	X		

Within five years [2027]						
Complete design and implement Phase I of Muddy Creek Master Plan stream restoration project	X	X	X			X
Improve Broken O Ranch diversions with automated head gate.	X	X	X			
Develop 2-3 projects with FWP biologists or other partners to improve upland habitat	X	X				
Develop 2-3 projects with FWP biologists or other partners to improve wetland/waterfowl habitat	X	X	X			
Work with DNRC and others to get Elk Creek gaged again						X
Seek better forecasting methodology – i.e. SNOTEL sites or weather stations			X			X
Implement at least two projects addressing potential avulsions or hazards described in the CMZ Report	X	X	X			
Develop a watershed-scale drought-management plan.		X	X			
Partner with FWP to identify fish passage barriers on the Sun River and develop a plan for removal						X
Identify and implement at least three projects to improve riparian vegetation or other nutrient-reducing techniques on key streams as identified in the 2019 Water Quality Trend Analysis report.	X		X			X
Contract with MSUEWQ or other entity to perform follow up water quality data analyses.						X
With MSCA, map priority areas for salinity and selenium reduction projects	X					X
5-10 years [by 2032]						
Water efficiency study to assess unintended effects of changing from flood to pivot and other irrigation efficiency projects.		X	X	X		X
Develop a monitoring or analysis plan to help determine relative contributions of impairments for Sun River tributaries	X					X
Create weed map or add to RMFWR weed maps; keep updated					X	X
Work with partners such as GID to implement large-scale water efficiency projects, such as pump-backs or other techniques suggested in the Muddy Creek Master Plan and other reports.	X	X	X	X		
Construct three or more additional small-scale, low-cost restoration projects (for a total of six or more in 10 years), such as in-channel	X	X	X	X		

structures, targeted bank protection, etc. (Low-tech, process-based restoration, on streams identified in the TMDL.	X			X		
Implement 2-3 projects to reduce salinity / selenium inputs to key waterways						
Ongoing						
Support NRCS water efficiency cost-share projects [TIPS] and encourage landowner participation	X	X	X			X
Make sure funding is maintained long-term for seasonal and USGS gages						X
Work with water managers and FWP to maintain target flows in the Sun River and to update those targets as more water efficiency projects are implemented.		X	X	X		
Support large carnivore and predator education and help landowners with deterrent actions			X			
Continue annual outreach and education events including Bashin' Trash River Clean Up, Weed Whacker Rodeo, and occasional Rain Barrel Workshops.	X				X	

**WQ: Water Quality; SF: Stream Flow; HP: Hydrologic Processes; NR: Natural Resources; W: Weeds; M: Monitoring.*

SRWG Values of Outreach & Education are not included in the table because they are a part of all SRWG's projects and programs. SRWG showcases our work and educates the public about watershed issues through social media, emails, events, and workshops as described in the "Outreach and Education" section of this WRP.

In an effort to provide over-arching photo monitoring, SRWG plans to acquire drone photos and video of the main Sun River and key tributaries once funding allows. This footage can be compared to past and future aerial photos to track the influence of SRWG's projects and changes to overall watershed conditions.

CONCLUSION

This WRP is made up of static and dynamic elements. The Strategic Values, objectives, and milestones in the WRP will be reviewed periodically and the document will be updated or revised at such time that it is determined to be outdated. By describing specific projects in the Project Prioritization Matrix, a flexible and frequently updated document, and tying those projects back to the Values and objectives in this WRP, SRWG hopes to keep this WRP relevant and enduring.

SRWG will annually review the Projects and Milestones section of this WRP and compare to the Project Prioritization Matrix to ensure projects are being planned and implemented to meet the objectives set out in this WRP. SRWG staff will work continually with the Project Committee and with partners to “feed” the Project Prioritization Matrix with projects that will help accomplish the objectives described in this WRP.

SRWG will assess project efficacy and on-the-ground progress towards objectives through monitoring. Project efficacy will be measured through project-specific monitoring plans and that data will be available by contacting SRWG. Overall watershed improvements, and impacts of projects to the greater watershed will be assessed through monitoring is performed annually by SRWG and our partners, such as long-term water quality monitoring and stream gage data selection. Data associated with that monitoring can be found through the SRWG River Conditions web page. SRWG will continue to perform trend analyses on a regular basis, and such reports will be available on the SRWG website Resources page.

Please direct questions and requests for more information to:

Sun River Watershed Group
Tracy Wendt, Executive Director
(406) 214 2868
tracy@sunriverwatershed.org



APPENDIX

APPENDIX A: REFERENCES

Many of these documents are available on the SRWG website, www.sunriverwatershed.org/resources. Contact tracy@sunriverwatershed.org for further assistance.

Applied Geomorphology, Inc. 2021. *Channel Migration Mapping Sun River (Phase 1) Elk Creek (Phase 2)*. www.sunriverwatershed.org/resources.

Juel, Erling. 2020-2022. *Personal Communications*. Greenfields Irrigation District.

Kellogg, Warren. 2014. *Sun River Assessment*. www.sunriverwatershed.org/resources.

Montana Department of Environmental Quality. 2004. *Water Quality Restoration Plan and Total Maximum Daily Loads for the Sun River Planning Area*. www.sunriverwatershed.org/resources.

Montana Department of Environmental Quality and Sun River Watershed Group. 2012. *Sun River Watershed Restoration Plan*. www.sunriverwatershed.org/resources.

Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation. 2022. *StAGE – Stream And Gage Explorer*. <https://gis.dnrc.mt.gov/apps/StAGE/>.

Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks. 2001. *Letter to US Bureau of Reclamation regarding Sun River minimum flows*.

Montana State University Extension Water Quality. 2019. *Sun River Watershed Group Volunteer Monitoring Program Nutrient Data Summary*. www.sunriverwatershed.org/resources.

Mullen, Jason. 2021. *2021 Sun River Fisheries Survey Data*. Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks.

Mullen, Jason. 2021. *Personal Communications*. Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks.

Ockey, Mark. 2020-2022. *Personal Communications*. Montana Department of Environmental Quality.

Rollo, Allan. 2021. *Personal Communication*. Watershed Stakeholder.

Sun River Watershed Group. 2019. *SRWG Strategic Plan*. www.sunriverwatershed.org/resources.

Sun River Watershed Water Management Working Group. 2021. *Sun River Project Operating Guide for Greenfields Irrigation District*. Greenfields Irrigation District and US Bureau of Reclamation.

Upper Sun River Wildlife Team. 2019-2022. *Misc. Working Group Meeting Notes*. USRWT.

US Geological Survey. 2022. *USGS Current Water Data for Montana*.
<https://waterdata.usgs.gov/mt/nwis/rt>.

US Bureau of Reclamation. 2022. *Sun River Project*.
<https://www.usbr.gov/projects/index.php?id=420>.

US Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service, Montana. 2020. *Cascade County Long Range Plan*. USDA NRCS Great Falls Field Office.

US Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service, Montana. 2020. *Lewis and Clark County Long Range Plan*. USDA NRCS Helena Field Office.

US Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service, Montana. 2020. *Teton County Long Range Plan*. USDA NRCS Choteau Field Office.

Vivian, Katie. 2021. *Personal Communications*. Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks.

GIS Resources

Hydrography: Sun River, Tributaries
Montana Hydrography Framework (National Hydrography Dataset); Data Provider: U.S. Geological Survey, Montana State Library Geographic Information Clearinghouse. <https://ftpgeoinfo.msl.mt.gov/Data/Spatial/MSDI/Hydrography/>

Watershed Boundary: Sun River Watershed
Watershed Boundary Dataset; Data Provider: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, National Geospatial Center of Excellence, Montana State Library Geographic Information Clearinghouse. <https://ftpgeoinfo.msl.mt.gov/Data/Spatial/MSDI/HydrologicUnits>

Public Lands:
Montana Public Lands Dataset; Data Provider: Montana Department of Revenue, Montana State Library Geographic Information Clearinghouse. <http://ftpgeoinfo.msl.mt.gov/Data/Spatial/MSDI/Cadastral/PublicLands/>

County Boundaries:

Administrative Boundaries Framework; Data Provider: Montana Department of Revenue, Montana State Library Geographic Information Clearinghouse. <https://ftpgeoinfo.msl.mt.gov/Data/Spatial/MSDI/AdministrativeBoundaries/>

USGS Stream Gages:

USGS Streamflow Gauges in Montana, 2017; Data Provider: U.S. Geological Survey, National Water Information System, Montana State Library Geographic Information Clearinghouse. https://ftpgeoinfo.msl.mt.gov/Data/Spatial/NonMSDI/Shapefiles/USGS_Gauges_2017

SNOTEL:

Montana SNOTEL Locations, 2010; Data Provider: U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, National Water and Climate Center, Montana State Library Geographic Information Clearinghouse. <https://ftpgeoinfo.msl.mt.gov/Data/Spatial/NonMSDI/Shapefiles/Snotel2010>

All of the above GIS data was retrieved from the Montana State Library GIS Clearinghouse Point of Contact:

Montana State Library
PO Box 201800
Helena, MT 59620-1800

ESRI Topographic Map Baselayer:

Service Layer Credits: Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community.

APPENDIX B: SRWG PROJECTS AND ACHIEVEMENTS

Recent [2018 – 2022]

- Muddy Creek Master Plan. *In progress*
- Adobe Creek Avulsion Plan. *In progress*
- Muddy Creek crossing and habitat improvement project. *In progress*
- Winter RiverFest: A celebration of the Missouri and Sun Rivers. *February 2022*
- Channel Migration Zone Report – Elk Creek. *August 2021*
- AIS Awareness Boat Ramp Painting. *August 2021*
- Upper Muddy Creek Animal Waste Management [riparian fencing]. *September 2020*
- Rain Barrel Workshop. *July 2020*
- Channel Migration Zone Report – Sun River. *June 2020*
- Sun River Water Quality 15-Year Trend Analysis. *December 2019*
- 25th Anniversary Celebration and Outreach Event. *August 2019*
- SRWG Strategic 10-Year Plan. *August 2019*
- Bashin’ Trash River Cleanup. *Annually*
- Weed Whacker Rodeo. *Annually*
- Water Quality Monitoring. *Annually*
- Arctic Grayling rescue. *Annually*

Historic [1994 – 2017]

- Implemented water quality and stream flow monitoring through numerous stream gages and a volunteer water quality monitoring program.
- Sun River mainstem projects
 - Improved over 22,000 feet of river banks
 - Removed 500 car bodies and 40 tons of trash from lower Sun River
- Mill Coulee projects
 - Improved four miles of stream by sloping banks and revegetating
- Big Coulee and Duck Creek projects
 - Assessed 10 miles of stream to develop strategies and prioritize work
 - Bank work on over one mile of stream at five sites
- Elk Creek projects
 - Assessed over 10 miles of stream to evaluate needs and priorities
 - Implemented 5,000 feet of riparian improvements at six sites and five diversions
- Muddy Creek projects
 - Assessed 40 miles of creek to prioritize work
 - Reduced erosion 80% (from 200,000 to 30,000 tons annually) by installing 530 rock bars, 13 drop structures, eight miles of riparian fencing, six off-stream watering tanks, 2,000 feet of bio-control bank work, planted 12,000 trees, willows, and bushes
 - MSCA assessed upper Muddy Creek and helped write plans for producers to reduce saline seeps
- Adobe Creek projects
 - Fenced two miles to improve riparian corridor
 - Implemented irrigation water management on 200 acres to reduce saline seep
- Willow Creek projects



- Assessed seven miles of stream to look for erosion control opportunities
 - Reduced erosion by 60% (from 170 NTU to 67 NTU) through rock work on 7 miles of bank, sloping one mile of bank, and fencing one mile of bank
- General watershed projects
 - Fairfield bench groundwater study and education program to reduce farm chemicals leaching into ground water
 - Improved irrigation on private land and lined GID canal to reduce selenium and salinity into Freezeout Lake
- Fort Shaw Irrigation projects
 - Converted 75,000 feet of open ditch to lined canals or pipelines
 - Conducted canal measurements
 - Upgraded to automated head gates
- Greenfields Irrigation District projects
 - Converted 7,000 feet of open ditch to lined canals and pipelines
 - Installed wastewater pump-back systems
 - Automated canal head gates
- Sun River Valley Ditch Company projects
 - Lined 3,000 feet of canal
 - Automated headworks
- Nilan Water User projects
 - Lined 1,500 feet of canal
- Weed control projects
 - Treated over 30,000 acres for weed control
 - 400 volunteers released 5 million bio-control
 - Initiated annual Weed Whacker Rodeo in 1998. Through 2017, over 1000 volunteers removed 17,000 lbs of knapweed.
 - Initiated partnerships that contributed to the now eight annual weed events that occur in the upper Sun River watershed
- Studies by students
 - Predicting West Nile Virus in Cascade County, 2016
 - Comparison of Lower Sun River Water to Fort Shaw Irrigation Return Water, 2015
 - Sun River Conservation: a water quality study, 2014
 - Spring Creek Algae and Water Quality, 2014
 - Spring Creek Fishery Conditions, 2014
 - Biodiversity of Big Coulee Creek, 2013
 - Baseline Water Quality Data from Rocky Mountain Front, Montana, and Implications for Native Trout, 2011
 - Turbidity of Muddy Creek and Tributaries, 2007
 - Salinity and pH in Big Coulee, 2007

APPENDIX C: SRWG PROJECT SCREENING TOOL

I. PROJECT INFORMATION

Date of Review:

Project Name:

Role of SRWG

- Project Lead
 Project Partner
 Project Support

If SRWG will be a Partner or Supporter of the project, please describe the estimated level of involvement and tasks SRWG will be responsible for:

Location (Address, Description, Lat/Long, GPS):

SRWG Strategic Resource Concern (check all appropriate, circle MAIN concern):

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Water Quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrologic Processes |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Stream Flows | <input type="checkbox"/> Outreach/Education |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Weeds | <input type="checkbox"/> Building Capacity |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fish & Wildlife Habitat | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Project Overview (one paragraph):

Project Goals (specific, include priorities):

Stakeholders (include private, government, other NGO, etc):

Other Information Related to Project (related documents, studies, referenced in CMZ, etc.):

Timeline (describe estimated timeline, including est. start date, any restrictions or constraints, any time sensitivity):

If SRWG is the Project Lead, providing financial support, or significant staff time, complete financial information) below

Estimated Cost (if known):

Potential Funding Sources:

Likelihood of stakeholder participation, including potential funding, partnerships, and landowner permission/participation:

II. DECISION

Project committee will meet to discuss projects where SRWG's role is Lead or includes financial support or significant SRWG staff time. Projects where support is minimal may be decided by Executive Director. Projects are accepted based on relevance to SRWG mission and Strategic Values, likelihood of funding and partner participation, imminent need, or other factors as determined by committee.

- Accepted
 Hold
 Denied

Comments from Committee:

For projects that are Accepted, also complete Section III. PRIORITIZATION and complete project matrix.

For projects On Hold or Denied, provide more detail and add to project matrix. *Describe why committee put project on hold – is more information needed? Is project pending a change in conditions? Is there a legal or legislative constraint? Add any relevant comments here.*

III. PRIORITIZATION

Complete this section for any project deemed “Accepted” in Section II.

SRWG Resources to Complete Project *(Including internal and external resources. Capacity – if SRWG does not have capacity to complete project in the proposed timeline, consider building capacity, reprioritizing other projects, or another approach to fit the project in if it is deemed priority.*

Internal (anticipated)

Coordinator Time:

Other Staff Time:

Board Time:

Other SRWG inputs (internal funds, mileage, supplies):

External

Contractor/Consultants:

Partners:

Other:

Has landowner access been granted? Or are there any anticipated issues that may prevent landowner from granting access?

Have partners committed to providing support, in-kind contributions, and/or funding? Describe:

Does SRWG have capacity to approach this work within the describe timeline? When would this project fit into SRWG’s capacity?

What are the anticipated impacts to the local community? This would be benefits or other affects not highlighted as a Resource Concern in Section I of this form.

Will this project help raise SRWG’s profile in the community, expand our outreach and influence, or provide other “PR” benefits?

What does SRWG know or project in regards to likelihood of funding?

What is the prioritization of this project?

- HIGH – must do. Big impact, big return on investment, important optics.
- MEDIUM – beneficial but not priority, low investment/risk.
- LOW – nice to do. Opportunistic, “low-hanging-fruit”.

Comments from the committee:

APPENDIX D: SRWG PRIORITIZATION MATRIX



PROJECT STATUS OVERVIEW

Updated: 7/12/2022

Project Name	STATUS	Main Funding	Project Cost	Start Date	Complete Date	Project Type	Resource Concern	Comments
Irrigation Development / Drought Preparedness	Funded	DNRC IDG	\$ 9,000.00	07/01/21	12/31/22	Planning	WM; Outreach; Fundraising	communication and coordination with water managers, pursuit of long-term funding for flow
Adobe Creek Avulsion	Funded (CCD)	DNRC 223 grant	\$50,000	01/01/22	12/31/22	Planning	Hydrologic Processes, WQ	Landowner outreach and surveys began July 5
BLM Low-Tech PBR Projects	Funded	MWCC and BLM	\$15,500	09/01/21	09/30/23	Planning / Implement	Hydrologic Processes, WQ	Site selected. This quarter, wetland delineation and budget will be initiated
2022 WQ Monitoring	Funded	MWCC, BSWC, DNRC	\$12,250 +	01/01/22	12/31/22	Capacity	Water Quality, Monitoring	In progress, led by Jessica
Watershed Project Map	Funded	DNRC WMG	\$3,000	upon award	07/16/23	Planning	Outreach	Provide visual representation online of SRWG's historic and recent work as well as planned projects and studies; good tool for stakeholder, partner, and funding outreach
Muddy Creek MP Projects (J-wasteway, stream reaches)	Partially funded	WaterSMART, WMG, RDG	\$2.3M	upon award	TBD	Implementation	WQ, WM, Habitat, processes	\$1.7M WaterSMART awarded; working on 25% match
Vaughn area L/O leaky ditch (SRVDC lateral)	in planning	?	?	upon award	TBD	Implementation	Streamflow, WQ	working with landowners to determine est. cost so we can start applying for funds
Floweree/Willow Outlet study	Funding Pending	RRG Planning through TCD	\$50,000	upon award	TBD	Planning	WQ, WM, Habitat, processes	Turned down for RRGL planning grant; applying again with TCD for RDG planning grant in July
Fish Barrier Inventory	Funding and committee approval pending	WaterSMART phase I	\$10-15K	upon award	2023	Planning	Habitat, WQ, processes, WM	Good way to identify and prioritize a suite of projects; pending committee approval and funding
Nutrient Trend Analysis Continuation	Funding and committee approval pending	WaterSMART phase I and MSUEWQ	\$15,000	upon award	2024	Planning	WQ, WM, Habitat, processes	Good way to identify and prioritize a suite of projects; pending committee approval and funding; work would be performed by MSU grad student in water quality program who is already familiar with our water quality program

GREEN = funding awarded; YELLOW = funding applied, not yet awarded; RUST = no funding applied for yet; GREY = project complete



SUN RIVER WATERSHED GROUP

PROJECT STATUS OVERVIEW

Updated: 7/12/2022

Project Name	STATUS	Main Funding	Project Cost	Start Date	Complete Date	Project Type	Resource Concern	Comments
Watershed Aerial video/photos	Funding and committee approval pending	WaterSMART phase I	\$8,000	upon award	2024	Planning	Outreach	Acquire video and photos of Sun River and key tributaries including scenery, construction and volunteer work for outreach; also document watershed conditions to compare to past aerial photos and future footage.
Define/enhance weed program	Funding Pending	WaterSMART	\$3,000	upon award	07/31/22	Capacity	Weeds	Applied for funding under 2022 WaterSMART application
SRWG Board Development	Funding Pending	WaterSMART	TBD	upon award	2023	Capacity	Capacity	Applied for WaterSMART application
FSID flume accuracy and improved communication	Funded (FSID)	IDG	?	07/01/21	44926	Planning / Implement	WM, Monitoring	FSID project, SRWG may help coordinate communication
Sun River Road Erosion	Planning	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	WQ, hydro process	LO has not responded to correspondence to move forward. Problem is due to past SRWG project; working with CD to come up with a plan. Poss. Naked River Banks project.
Wadsworth Pond	Planning	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	Fish; education/outreach	City would lead the project, SRWG to help find funds and do outreach
Nilan Water Users Florence Canal	early planning	TBD	TBD	upon award	TBD	planning/implementation	streamflow, WQ, resources, processes	project has been mentioned with LCCD but not certain if Nilan is interested. Need to follow up
Muddy Creek Master Plan	In Progress	BOR	\$ 99,084.00	06/30/20	06/30/22	Planning	WQ, erosion	complete
Muddy Creek Crossing and Habitat Improvement	In Progress	DEQ	\$ 117,500.00	08/06/20	06/30/22	Implementation	WQ, erosion	complete
Update Watershed Restoration Plan	In review	DNRC WMG	\$ 3,000.00	12/01/20	03/31/22	Planning	Water Quality	complete
Muddy Creek Power Streamgauge	Funded	BLM, BOR	\$ 1,500.00	02/01/21	spring 2022	Planning	WQ, WM, Outreach,	complete
Upper Muddy Creek Animal Waste (GID/Duane Seven)	Complete	DEQ 218016	\$ 12,000.00	01/01/19	09/30/20	Implementation	Sediment, erosion	complete

GREEN = funding awarded; YELLOW = funding applied, not yet awarded; RUST = no funding applied for yet; GREY = project complete



PROJECT STATUS OVERVIEW

Updated: 7/12/2022

Project Name	STATUS	Main Funding	Project Cost	Start Date	Complete Date	Project Type	Resource Concern	Comments
Lee / Spring Coulee Creek	In Progress	Lee, GID	n/a	01/01/21	04/30/22	Implementation	WQ, WM, Outreach	complete
Migration Zone Report (CMZ)	Complete	DNRC HB223 (CCD); DEQ 319	\$ 30,750.00	01/30/20	07/31/20	Planning	Hydrologic Processes	complete

GREEN = funding awarded; YELLOW = funding applied, not yet awarded; RUST = no funding applied for yet; GREY = project complete



PROJECT PRIORITIZATION MATRIX

PROJECT	SRWG STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES							OTHER CONSIDERATIONS (Section III)							
	IMPROVE WATER QUALITY	MAINTAIN STREAM FLOW	RESTORE PROCESSES	ENHANCE OTHER RESOURCES	CONTROL WEEDS	EDUCATION OUTREACH	MONITOR EFFICACY	SRWG role (lead, partner, other)	Landowner permission	funding/in-kind	SRWG staff capacity	Community impacts	SRWG Visibility / PR	Likelihood of funding	Comments: obstacles, potential funding sources, timeframe, etc.
ACTIVE PROJECTS															
Irrigation Development / Drought Preparedness	X	X	X	X		X	X	lead	n/a	x	x	x	x	x	in progress
Adobe Creek Avulsion	X	X	X	X		X		partner	x	x	x	x	x	x	Cascade CD has funds, paying SRWG to manage
BLM Low-Tech PBR	X	X	X	X		X	X	lead	x	x	x	x	x	x	ongoing, annual; might need staff help
2022 WQ Monitoring	X			X		X	X	lead	x	x	x	x	x	x	Jessica leading, in progress
Watershed Project Map						X	X	lead	n/a	x	x	x	x	x	DNRC funded; waiting for contract
APPROVED PROJECTS (not started yet or funding pending)															
Muddy Creek MP Projects	X	X	X			X		lead							part of Muddy Creek MP projects
Vaughn L/O leaky ditch (SRVDC lateral)	X	X	X	X		X		lead	X	/	/	x	x	?	unsure; have in-kind commitment, need budget and funding
Floweree/Willow outlet study	X	X	X	X		X		support							RGL planning led by TCD
Fish Barrier Inventory	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	lead	/	/	/	x	x	/	America the Beautiful with DNRC
Nutrient Trend Analysis follow up study	X			X		X	X	partner	x	x	x	x	x	x	applied for WaterSMART
Watershed aerial video/photos						X	X	lead	n/a		x	x	x	x	applied for WaterSMART
Define/enhance weed program				X	X	X		partner	x	x	x	x	x	x	?
SRWG Board Development	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	lead	n/a	x	x	x	x	x	WMG denied; will try again this fall/spring
Nilan Water Users Florence Canal	X	X	X	X		X		unsure							Need Nilan buy-in
DENIED PROJECTS															
Fort Shaw Salinity Assessment (Adobe Creek)	X		X	X		X	X	lead							ON HOLD - pending legal dispute between landowners; partner with MSCA, implementation to be led by NRCS
Fort Shaw Salinity Implementation(Adobe Creek)	X		X	X		X		support							ON HOLD - see assessment phase above. NRCS lead, SRWG outreach and support



PROJECT PRIORITIZATION MATRIX

PROJECT	SRWG STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES							OTHER CONSIDERATIONS (Section III)							
	IMPROVE WATER QUALITY	MAINTAIN STREAM FLOW	RESTORE PROCESSES	ENHANCE OTHER RESOURCES	CONTROL WEEDS	EDUCATION OUTREACH	MONITOR EFFICACY	SRWG role (lead, partner, other)	Landowner permission	funding/in-kind	SRWG staff capacity	Community impacts	SRWG Visibility / PR	Likelihood of funding	Comments: obstacles, potential funding sources, timeframe, etc.
Nilan Spawning Habitat				X		X		partner	x	x	x	x	x	x	Funded, then DNRC rejected project
R4R - Johnston	X			X		X		partner	x	x	x	x	x	x	Funded; then ran into GID grazing lease issue
PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED															
Flood-Sprinkler conversion study	X	X	X	X			X								pre-pre-planning 2022
Sun River Road erosion	X		X	X		X		partner							working with Cascade CD and landowners on a plan to propose
Additional LTPBR projects	X	X	X	X		X	X	lead							on key tribs for WQ and to restore processes
Enhance monitoring on Muddy Creek							X	lead							develop plan with DEQ; pending funding
Wadsworth Pond - Master Plan	X		X	X	X	X		support							led by City; LOS, coordination, poss add'l grant
More SNOTEL sites		X	X	X			X	unsure							suggested via SRWG survey and WMWG
Freezeout Lake ammo collection volunteer	X			X		X	X	lead							work with FWP; volunteer project
Elk Creek stream gages							X	support							with DNRC and LCCD, maybe others
Develop Drought Management Plan	X	X	X	X				unsure							IDG 2022 will help find funding for this
3Buttes - Broken O - Vital Ground	X	X	X	X				unsure							Might need more development before ranking; see also SR3 Terrace project below
Big Coulee - Reduce excess water and control erosion	X	X	X	X				unsure							TMDL and 2012 WRP
Reach SR5 - Dry Creek area	X		X	X											CMZ - channel adjustment/floodplain expand.
Reach SR3 - below Loweree Br	X		X	X											CMZ - eroding terrace. Bank protection? Planting?
Reach SR3 - Simms Creek area	X		X	X											CMZ - potential avulsion
Reach SR3 - (Kellogg SR5); 3 Buttes Ranch	X		X	X											CMZ - eroding terrace. Bank protection? Floodplain expand?
Reach SR3 - 1/2 mile u/s Rocky Reef	X		X	X											CMZ - avulsion; old projects eroded
Reach SR1 - Terrace erosion	X		X	X											CMZ - high terrace, old car bodies and metal to be removed



PROJECT PRIORITIZATION MATRIX

PROJECT	SRWG STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES							OTHER CONSIDERATIONS (Section III)							
	IMPROVE WATER QUALITY	MAINTAIN STREAM FLOW	RESTORE PROCESSES	ENHANCE OTHER RESOURCES	CONTROL WEEDS	EDUCATION OUTREACH	MONITOR EFFICACY	SRWG role (lead, partner, other)	Landowner permission	funding/in-kind	SRWG staff capacity	Community impacts	SRWG Visibility / PR	Likelihood of funding	Comments: obstacles, potential funding sources, timeframe, etc.
SR-35 Bank Stabilization															Kellogg Report
SR-37 Bridge Abutments/Ramp															Kellogg Report
SR-22 Bank Stabilization															Kellogg Report
SR-42 Irrigation Pump/car bodies															Kellogg Report
SR-44 Bank Erosion															Kellogg Report
SR-12 Return Flow Channel															Kellogg Report
SR-13 Stream Crossing															Kellogg Report
SR-18 Bank Stabilization															Kellogg Report
SR-20 Sun River Valley Irrigation Diversion															Kellogg Report
SR-24 Bank Stabilization															Kellogg Report
SR-28 Stream Crossing															Kellogg Report
SR-31 Bank Erosion															Kellogg Report
RELATED PARTNER PROJECTS															
FSID flume efficiency		X	X	X			X	support							FSID project, SRWG may provide some support
SCC Culvert Replacement	X	X	X	X			X								SRWG and FWP promoted project, Teton County leading
Add fish sampling sites on Muddy Creek				X			X	support							FWP effort
Pull Your Share				X	X	X	X								PYS
Saline Seeps (esp above Power)	X														MSCA
GID Wastewater mgmt - Arnold Coulee		X													GID pursuing funding
GID Wastewater mgmt - SCC Headworks		X													GID pursuing funding
Increase J-wasteway storage															SRWG WaterSMART app submitted 2022
Increase storage of Pishkun															GID should lead - suggested via SRWG survey
Improve diversion at Pishkun															GID should lead - suggested via SRWG survey
Willow Creek feeder canal erosion control															GID should lead - suggested via SRWG survey



PROJECT PRIORITIZATION MATRIX

PROJECT	SRWG STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES							OTHER CONSIDERATIONS (Section III)							
	IMPROVE WATER QUALITY	MAINTAIN STREAM FLOW	RESTORE PROCESSES	ENHANCE OTHER RESOURCES	CONTROL WEEDS	EDUCATION OUTREACH	MONITOR EFFICACY	SRWG role (lead, partner, other)	Landowner permission	funding/in-kind	SRWG staff capacity	Community impacts	SRWG Visibility / PR	Likelihood of funding	Comments: obstacles, potential funding sources, timeframe, etc.
Re-reg pond on Tank Coulee															GID should lead - suggested via SRWG survey
In-canal storage on SR Slope and Spring Valley															GID should lead - suggested via SRWG survey
pressurized pipe GID South Canal															GID should lead - suggested via SRWG survey
pressurized pipe GID Ashuelot Bench															GID should lead - suggested via SRWG survey
low pressure pipes at East Bench of GID															GID should lead - suggested via SRWG survey

APPENDIX E: SUN RIVER FISH SURVEY DATA

SUN RIVER FISHERIES SURVEY RESULTS

